WASC All-Campus Survey - Total Respondents & Subtotals Responding to Each Item (Not Important & Don't Know Excluded) Page 1 of 4

	Grand Total Respondents	408	282	124	94	334
Addressing the Needs of Students		Students	FT Faculty	PT Faculty	Admin.	Staff
1. Students have access to quality academic advising		344	212	74	60	226
2. Quality financial aid advising is provided to students		279	102	38	42	168
3. Campus information is easily accessible		329	222	100	83	293
4. Quality career counseling is available to students		235	159	56	51	187
5. Quality services for all different kinds of students are provided		246	171	68	60	219
6. Our campus is a welcoming place for students		355	240	106	86	281

Ensuring Student Learning	Students	FT Faculty	PT Faculty	Admin.	Staff
1. Degree programs have clear learning outcomes for students	337	217	90	60	205
2. Student learning is monitored and assessed	348	222	97	58	195
3. Students are engaged with faculty in research/scholarship	272	206	77	57	185
4. Students are engaged in out-of-classroom learning experiences	310	204	89	59	194
5. Undergraduate degree programs ensure the development of writing skills	330	252	107	69	220
6. Undergraduate degree programs ensure the development of critical thinking	334	248	109	63	212
7. Undergraduate degree programs ensure the development of information literacy	317	237	104	61	201
8. Undergraduate degree programs ensure the development of civic engagement	262	184	75	60	181

Faculty Excellence and Effectiveness	Students	FT Faculty	PT Faculty	Admin.	Staff
1. The number of full-time tenure track faculty is sufficient	243	252	75	63	191
2. Faculty teaching is actively supported	247	256	99	60	183
3. Faculty research is actively supported	166	252	58	58	164
4. Faculty promote student success	354	238	99	66	205
5. Faculty engage students in their scholarship and creative activities	295	211	87	53	170
6. Faculty use assessments of student learning to improve programs	283	214	89	49	159
7. New faculty are welcomed and oriented to campus	133	230	93	58	149

Staff Excellence and Effectiveness		Students	FT Faculty	PT Faculty	Admin.	Staff
1. The number of staff is sufficient		288	245	85	83	292
2. The campus actively supports staff professional development		135	166	80	83	295
3. Job classifications are aligned with the use of advancing technology		155	124	46	75	268
4. Staff are partners with the faculty in supporting student learning		164	211	87	71	256
5. New staff are welcomed and oriented to campus] [110	107	38	85	278

CSUF IR&AS

WASC All-Campus Survey - Total Respondents & Subtotals Responding to Each Item (Not Important & Don't Know Excluded) Page 2 of 4

Grand Total Respondents

282

408

Campus Planning and Vision	Students	FT Faculty
1. Campus priorities and goals are clearly communicated to all	318	3 229
2. The Mission, Goals and Strategies statement conveys campus priorities	245	5 209
3. The campus has a clear message about educational quality	318	3 235
4. Campus planning for enrollment is adequate	303	3 220
5. Campus planning balances quality and enrollment	299	9 226
6. Campus planning processes (academic, facilities, budget) are integrated	199	9 182
7. Academic program development responds to changing local and state needs	227	7 187
8. Program performance review is an effective planning tool	193	3 162
9. The campus technology infrastructure is sufficient	319	9 243
10. CSUF facilities reflect the educational values of the campus	320) 231
11. CSUF facilities support a sense of community on campus	326	6 233

Campus Community and Partnerships	Students	FT Faculty	PT Faculty	Admin.	Staff
1. Campus climate is collegial	300	249	95	87	268
2. The campus assesses and serves the needs, interests and expectations of Orange County and the region	258	201	86	77	241
3. The campus assesses and serves the needs, interests and expectations of prospective students	293	213	79	76	245
4. The Irvine Campus serves the community well	84	75	32	53	110
5. Faculty are engaged with the campus beyond teaching	210	206	64	66	156
6. Staff are engaged with the campus community	170	130	46	72	232
7. Students are engaged with the campus beyond their classes	293	203	72	70	199
8. Faculty are engaged with community partners	127	168	54	47	139
9. Students are engaged with community partners	190	135	48	48	147
10. Campus communicates effectively with alumni	123	138	57	62	163
11. Campus promotes the impact of our alumni on the region	166	149	61	68	163

124	94	334
PT Faculty	Admin.	Staff
94	90	290
81	87	262
97	87	276
81	84	240
79	80	228
52	76	209
57	60	187
52	63	191
98	84	275
98	88	274
97	83	275

WASC All-Campus Survey - Percent Responding to Each Item (Not Important & Don't Know Excluded)

Grand Total Respondents	408	282	124	94	334
	Students	FT Faculty	PT Faculty	Admin.	Staff
	84%	75%	60%	64%	68%
	68%	36%	31%	<mark>45%</mark>	50%
	81%	79%	81%	88%	88%
	58%	56%	<mark>45%</mark>	54%	56%
	60%	61%	<mark>55%</mark>	64%	66%
	87%	85%	85%	91%	84%
		84% 68% 81% 58% 60%	84% 75% 68% 36% 81% 79% 58% 56% 60% 61%	84% 75% 60% 68% 36% 31% 81% 79% 81% 58% 56% 45% 60% 61% 55%	84% 75% 60% 64% 68% 36% 31% 45% 81% 79% 81% 88% 58% 56% 45% 54% 60% 61% 55% 64%

Ensuring Student Learning	Students	FT Faculty	PT Faculty	Admin.	Staff
1. Degree programs have clear learning outcomes for students	83%	77%	73%	64%	61%
2. Student learning is monitored and assessed	85%	79%	78%	62%	<mark>58%</mark>
3. Students are engaged with faculty in research/scholarship	67%	73%	62%	61%	<mark>55%</mark>
4. Students are engaged in out-of-classroom learning experiences	76%	72%	72%	63%	<mark>58%</mark>
5. Undergraduate degree programs ensure the development of writing skills	81%	89%	86%	73%	66%
6. Undergraduate degree programs ensure the development of critical thinking	82%	88%	88%	67%	63%
7. Undergraduate degree programs ensure the development of information literacy	78%	84%	84%	65%	60%
8. Undergraduate degree programs ensure the development of civic engagement	64%	65%	60%	64%	54%

Faculty Excellence and Effectiveness	Students	FT Faculty	PT Faculty	Admin.	Staff
1. The number of full-time tenure track faculty is sufficient	60	% 89%	60%	67%	57%
2. Faculty teaching is actively supported	61	% 91%	80%	64%	55%
3. Faculty research is actively supported	41	<mark>%</mark> 89%	47%	62%	49%
4. Faculty promote student success	87	% 84%	80%	70%	61%
5. Faculty engage students in their scholarship and creative activities	72	% 75%	70%	56%	51%
6. Faculty use assessments of student learning to improve programs	69	% 76%	72%	52%	48%
7. New faculty are welcomed and oriented to campus	33	<mark>%</mark> 82%	75%	62%	45%

Staff Excellence and Effectiveness	Students	FT Faculty
1. The number of staff is sufficient	71	% 87%
2. The campus actively supports staff professional development	33	<mark>%</mark> 59%
3. Job classifications are aligned with the use of advancing technology	38	% 44%
4. Staff are partners with the faculty in supporting student learning	40	<mark>%</mark> 75%
5. New staff are welcomed and oriented to campus	27	% 38%

Items with less than 50% responding are shaded in yellow

Page 3 of 4

Admin.	Staff
88%	87%
88%	88%
80%	80%
76%	77%
90%	83%
	88% 88% 80% 76%

Items with 50-59% responding are shaded in yellow

CSUF IR&AS

WASC All-Campus Survey - Percent Responding to Each Item (Not Important & Don't Know Excluded)

	Grand Total Respondents	408	282	124	94	334
Campus Planning and Vision		Students	FT Faculty	PT Faculty	Admin.	Staff
1. Campus priorities and goals are clearly communicated to all		78%	81%	76%	96%	87%
2. The Mission, Goals and Strategies statement conveys campus priorities		60%	74%	65%	93%	78%
3. The campus has a clear message about educational quality		78%	83%	78%	93%	83%
4. Campus planning for enrollment is adequate		74%	78%	65%	89%	72%
5. Campus planning balances quality and enrollment		73%	80%	64%	85%	68%
6. Campus planning processes (academic, facilities, budget) are integrated		49%	65%	42%	81%	63%
7. Academic program development responds to changing local and state needs		56%	66%	<mark>46%</mark>	64%	56%
8. Program performance review is an effective planning tool		47%	57%	42%	67%	57%
9. The campus technology infrastructure is sufficient		78%	86%	79%	89%	82%
10. CSUF facilities reflect the educational values of the campus		78%	82%	79%	94%	82%
11. CSUF facilities support a sense of community on campus		80%	83%	78%	88%	82%

Campus Community and Partnerships	Students	FT Faculty	PT Faculty	Admin.	Staff
1. Campus climate is collegial	74%	88%	77%	93%	80%
2. The campus assesses and serves the needs, interests and expectations of Orange County and the region	63%	71%	69%	82%	72%
3. The campus assesses and serves the needs, interests and expectations of prospective students	72%	76%	64%	81%	73%
4. The Irvine Campus serves the community well	<mark>21%</mark>	27%	26%	56%	<mark>33%</mark>
5. Faculty are engaged with the campus beyond teaching	<mark>51%</mark>	73%	52%	70%	47%
6. Staff are engaged with the campus community	<mark>42%</mark>	46%	37%	77%	69%
7. Students are engaged with the campus beyond their classes	72%	72%	58%	74%	60%
8. Faculty are engaged with community partners	<mark>31%</mark>	60%	44%	50%	42%
9. Students are engaged with community partners	<mark>47%</mark>	48%	39%	51%	44%
10. Campus communicates effectively with alumni	<mark>30%</mark>	49%	46%	66%	<mark>49%</mark>
11. Campus promotes the impact of our alumni on the region	<mark>41%</mark>	53%	49%	72%	<mark>49%</mark>

Items with less than 50% responding are shaded in yellow

Page 4 of 4

Items with 50-59% responding are shaded in yellow

CSUF IR&AS