

Database Reduction Strategy

Model	Prouction Support (no upgrade) HCM 8.9	Prod Support (during 9.1 upgrade) HCM 8.9	Upgrade Support HCM 9.1	Instance Peak	Storage Max Delta	Reserved Pool
Current	8	8	8	662	3920 GB	0
	DVL, TST, STG, TRN, PRJ, PRO, TRS, CNV	DVL, TST, STG, TRN, PRJ, PRO, TRS, CNV	DVL, TST, STG, TRN, UPG, PRJ, PRO, TRS			
Proposed 1	5	4	6	455	0	25
	DVL, TST, STG, TRN, TRS	DVL, TST, STG, TRN	DVL, TST, STG, TRN, UPG, PRJ			
Proposed 2	5	4	5	432	0	48
	DVL, TST, STG, TRN, TRS	DVL, TST, STG, TRN	DVL, TST, STG, UPG, PRJ			
Model	Prouction Support (no upgrade) FIN 8.4	Prod Support (during 9.0 upgrade) FIN 8.4	Upgrade Support FIN 9.0			
COLUMN DEFINITIONS						
Prod Support (no upgrade): # of campus development instances for normal production support						
Prod Support (during upgrade): # of campus development instances during an upgrade cycle						
Upgrade Support: # of campus development instances to support upgrade activities						
Instance Peak: max # of concurrent development instances required based on our current FIN 90 projections --- Unisys contract max is						
Storage Max Delta: GB of storage space over our allowable max based on our current FIN 90 projections						
Reserved Pool: # of instances at peak below the contact max of 480 --- this pool of instances would be allocated out to campuses for pr						
NOTES						
1) To maintain our Current model the CSU would need to incur annual costs exceeding 2 million dollars.						
2) All instance counts above assume 2 sets (1 for FIN, 1 for HCM) with equal allocation.						
3) Both proposed models include reducing individual campus PROs to a single shared campus PRO.						
4) 30% of the currently allocated FIN instances have 0 logins in the last 30 days.						
5) 15% of the currently allocated HCM instances have 0 logins in the last 30 days.						