11:30 AM - 12:50 PM

Present: Armstrong, Barr, Basil, Bonney, Bruschke, Buck, Casem, Chavis, Dabirian, DeMars, Dries, Fidalgo, Filowitz, Fischer, Fitch, Fujita-Rony, Gradilla, Hagihara, Holland, Jarvis, Kanel, Kdeiss, Maddox, Matz, Mead, Meyer, Myck-Wayne, Oliver, Patton, Perez, Puri, Rodriguez, Sargeant, Self, Sedeño, Stambough, Stang, Stohs, Tavakolian, Tsang, Walker,

Absent: Evanow, García, Powers, Walicki, Wang, Wood

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Bonney called the meeting to order at 11:30 AM.
A moment of silence was observed.

In Memoriam

- Jack Pontney, Professor Emeritus of Economics [died 11-6-16, age 85]
- Dextra Frankel, Professor Emeritus of Art [died 10-13-16]
- Michael E. Brown, Professor Emeritus of Political Science [age 77]
- Charles Reed, Former CSU Chancellor [died 12-6-16, age 75]

II. URGENT BUSINESS

2.1 ASD 16-158 Resolution in Support of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) Program
M/S/P (Walker/Casem) Resolution adopted unanimously.

2.2 ASD 16-159 Resolution in Support of Fully Funding the California State University System
M/S/P (Walker/Stohs) Resolution adopted unanimously.

2.3 ASD 16-162 Resolution in Support of Undocumented Students
M/S/P (Gradilla/Bruschke) Resolution adopted without dissent.

(Kanel) What happened to the situation that was discussed last week regarding the petition to remove the At-large seats?
A: (Bonney) The petition was delivered to me at the meeting on November 10th, we have not had a Senate meeting since then. We are looking to agendize it at the beginning of the spring term.

(Buck) To follow up on the request for the At-Large discussion. If I recall correctly last December at the December 10th meeting we were told it would be brought up at the first of the spring semester and it did not come up until April 28th. I hope it will come up in a timely manner so if it passes we could get it on the spring ballot.
A: (Bonney) A review of the minutes indicate we started talking about this issue at the second meeting in February 2016 and discussed various parts of this issue until May 5th. It will be appearing in early February.
III. ANNOUNCEMENTS

- Silent Auction of works from the Art of My Science Exhibit in Pollak Library East Gallery
  Now through 12-12-16, 5:00PM

- (Dabirian) The preferred name policy has been approved by Cabinet. We will announce it to the students within the next couple of weeks when they can access it in the system. The preferred name allows the students to change their first name to a preferred name, and it will take effect the following semester. Once the change has been made, it will show up in the roster, on Titanium, and on the portal. There are restrictions against using profanity. The Dean of Students reviews these on a random basis, and the University reserves the right to decline a preferred name if it is inappropriate.

  Q: How does that impact legal documents?
  A: It does not. Anything that requires their legal name will proceed with their legal name, including the ID card. The ID card is a state issued ID card, and it can be used for anything outside of the University, therefore it will continue to have their legal name. We are also looking at other systems that could help the students with the preferred name that we can get the preferred name into.

  Q: Does this upgrade all their past records to their new name?
  A: It is not about upgrading their records at all; it does not touch anybody’s records. There is a new field that will be added called preferred name, and it is for display only. When a faculty member looks at the roster, they will see the preferred name. Same thing for Titanium; their legal record and legal name stays the same for all legal documents including diploma.

IV. TIMES APPROXIMATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11:45 AM – 12:00 PM</th>
<th>Diversity Initiatives and Resource Centers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presenter:</td>
<td>Joy Hoffman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Joy Hoffman gave an update on the Diversity Initiatives and Resource Centers. Their five values are: inclusion, education, community, advocacy, and leadership. The mission statement for the Diversity Initiatives & Resource Centers (DIRC) is to create learning environments and opportunities that promote community and social consciousness. They offer programs, workshops, and trainings designed to increase self-awareness, cultural competence, sensitivity, and critical thinking.

The five cultural centers and their locations are: the African American Resource Center (HUM 222), the Asian Pacific American Resource Center (UH 211B), the Chicana & Chicano Resource Center (Titan Shops 109), the LGBT Queer Resource Center (TSU 254), and the Titan Dreamers Resource Center (PLN 203). We are supporting our students with space and programming.

We have collaborative partnerships with:

- AICA
- CAPS
- Career Center
- Athletics
- Ethnic Studies/Associated Faculty
- University Outreach
- Residence Life
- Center for Scholars
- Student Life and Leadership
- Study Abroad
- Resource Centers
- Student Orgs

V. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

5.1. ASD 16-151 Academic Senate Minutes 11-10-16 (Draft) forthcoming
VI. CONSENT CALENDAR
M/S/P (Fidalgo/Meyer) Consent Calendar was passed unanimously.

6.1 ASD 16-155 Revision to UPS 100.001 Academic Senate Bylaws - motion by Senator Mead to remove this item from the consent calendar.

UPS 100.001 was removed from the consent calendar and will become the first item of new business.

6.2 ASD 16-156 Proposed Rescission - UPS 100.005 Patent Policy
6.3 ASD 16-157 Revision to UPS 260.100 Assigned Time for Exceptional Levels of Service to Students
6.4 ASD 16-146 WGST Change of Status Proposal - Women and Gender Studies
6.5 ASD 16-143 New Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders
6.6 ASD 16-130 Revision to UPS 411.200 - General Education Guidelines and Procedures: New and Existing Courses

6.7 NOMINEES TO COMMITTEES

NOMINEES TO SEARCH COMMITTEES
DIRECTOR OF FACULTY AFFAIRS AND RECORDS
Nominees: Katherine Bono (HHD); Bruce Goodrich (ARTS); Ronald Oliver (EDUC)

DEAN OF STUDENTS
Nominees: Christina Carol (L/A/C/E); Armando Martinez-Cruz (NSM); Penchan Phoborisut (COMM)

NOMINEES TO STANDING COMMITTEES
HONORS PROGRAMS ADVISORY BOARD
Nominees: John Koegel (ARTS); Jonathan Cornforth (L/A/C/E); Claudia Pineda (HHD);
Malia Hoffmann (EDUC)

Confirmed 8/25: Scott Annin (NSM); Hunter Hargraves (HUM); Matt Jarvis (SOC SCI); Gayle Brunelle (HUM);
Thanh Nguyen (MCBE)

VII. CHAIR’S REPORT – written report distributed to campus on 12-2-16

On this solemn anniversary of the shootings at the Community Center in San Bernardino I have a relatively brief report to make. Yesterday we had a meeting of the Campus Senate Chairs for the CSU system. Some of what we heard already will have been included in the report from our ASCSU Senators as we began with an update from the chair of the ASCSU, Chris Miller. (As a preliminary matter I have invited Chair Miller to visit our Academic Senate at one of our spring semester meetings.) A couple of the high points from her presentation included an ASCSU resolution for $75 million in funding for each of the next five years, half of which ($37.5 M) would be used to work toward increased tenure density as an essential component of the Graduation Initiative 2025. Also each campus will be asked to respond to the Report of the Quantitative Reasoning Task Force by 6 February 2016, and we were encouraged to make these responses a collaborative project. We already are beginning that work. Because curriculum is involved clearly faculty participation will be key. Faculty Trustee Steven Stepanek also reported on the BOT budget support request. While you may have seen the numbers in other reports I include them here. The budget includes $38.5 M for 3600 anticipated FTES growth across the system; *$139.1 M for current compensation commitments which includes the faculty salary increases for 2017/18; $55.1 M for potential new compensation agreements with other bargaining units; $10 M for facilities and infrastructure; *$26 M for mandatory cost increases such as health insurance; and $75 M for the Graduation Initiative 2025. This comes to a total of $343.7 M, and with the $157.2M anticipated for the Governor’s Funding Plan and $18.8 M net tuition from enrollment growth leaves $167.7 M as a supplemental increase request. It is not clear how that gap will be closed. The items marked with asterisks above have been identified by the BOT as non-negotiable. It was obvious to the chairs that the funding that the Governor seems likely to include in his January budget is far short of what the CSU needs. The chairs discussed resolutions each campus will be adopting to argue for full funding as well as actions each campus has taken in response to student concerns about possible deportation of DACA students.
Two of the items on the agenda for our meeting on the 8th follow these concerns closely as we will be bringing to the Academic Senate for approval two resolutions, one on full funding for the CSU following the promise of the California Master Plan and a second urging a continuation of the DACA program. We are still finalizing the language for those two resolutions and will send them out following the Executive Committee meeting on Tuesday, the 6th of December. I will observe that compared to events that have transpired at a few other CSU campuses we have been very fortunate here at the continued embrace of diversity by our faculty, students and staff. We also will take our final step on the Academic Master Plan with a resolution that the Academic Senate receive the final draft of the AMP. Many thanks to the senators for the thoughtful conversation which helped to strengthen and enrich a document that will be key to the preparation of our next Strategic Plan. We also will (finally) have our first readings of a new UPS 100.250 defining an Academic Unit and of revisions to UPS 210.020 Review of Tenured Faculty. If we are nimble we also will take action on revisions to UPS 100.601, Procedures for Department/Program Name Changes that provides a clearer structure to this process.

We are almost to the end. Thank you for your service and for your participation in the

VIII. INTERIM PROVOST REPORT

- The Provost Quarterly report was distributed to campus on December 7th.
- Dr. Clement Guthro was appointed the new Dean of the Library. He will be joining us on March 30th.
- A memo will be going out next week on the new enrollment management structure that we will be rolling out.
- Our campus has received over $2 million out of the $35 million that was allocated to the system for the Graduate Initiative 2025 to eliminate the achievement gap. We are in consultation with faculty, Student Affairs and Academic Affairs working together to develop a plan on how to utilize the money. A third of the money will go to student’s financial aid to help them take spring and summer semester classes. We are providing additional advising services and adding more sections in both spring and summer allowing students to graduate in time. We are talking about making this the theme for the spring Academic Affairs/Academic Senate Retreat on March 3rd, so the campus can come up with a long-term plan to improve graduation rates.

IX. STATEWIDE ACADEMIC SENATE REPORT

(Meyer) Last Friday we did our interim committee meetings via Zoom. The Faculty Affairs Committee discussed whether we should come up with a resolution similar to the ones we passed earlier today on support of undocumented students and DACA. Our recommendation to the Statewide Senate Executive Committee was perhaps they along with the Statewide Senate for the UC and the Community Colleges gather together and do a joint resolution on the subject because we feel it would be a much stronger statement to make.

X. ASI REPORT

(Sedeno) At yesterday’s Board meeting we held the elections for the ASI Board Director Chair and Vice-Chair. Our Chair (Kayleigh Bates) and Vice-Chair (Veronica Kdeiss) will be serving again.

(Kdeiss) We had two vacancies on our Board, one student from EDUC and one from HSS; we have been working to fill these vacancies. This past Tuesday, we appointed Jose Solano Director for Humanities and Social Sciences, we are still on the search for a Director for the College of Education.

XI. CFA REPORT

If you have not rsvp’d for the holiday lunch, it is crucial that you do so.

XII. FIRST READING

12.1 ASD 16-117 New UPS 100.250 - Definition of Academic Unit

Chair Bonney gave an overview of this document. This is a response from the Executive Committee to the Senate from the Marathon meeting. We had a concern about what we were calling homeless courses that were not identified with a particular college or department, and the Executive Committee was charged by the Senate with drafting a definition of an academic unit.

- (Walker) Line 16: I don’t agree with deleting the wording “a tenure or tenure track faculty member who serves as the”, I feel it is critical in terms of the faculty being stewards of the curriculum so the person who is in charge of an academic unit really should be a tenure or tenure track faculty member.
(Fischer) Lines 12-13: “Academic units should typically be a department, school, division or program located within a college...” I’m uncomfortable with it because it leaves the Honors Program and The First Year Experience in limbo. They have been in existence for some time, and I wonder if there is some way of recognizing them?

(Sargeant) Lines 14-15: The provision that “The academic unit shall be composed of at least one tenured or tenure-track faculty line” would create a problem for some existing interdisciplinary programs where the faculty lines are outside of the program.

(Armstrong) Lines 17-18: suggest removing the words “...academic, instructional, and curricular responsibilities” and say “who manages unit responsibilities.”

(Armstrong) Line 20: it’s talking about a “…curriculum committee, program council or advisory board or similar body appointed by the Academic Senate.” My department doesn’t have any of those things, and I am wondering if this is something that would be new for each department, to have an elected committee or appointed committee by the Senate?

(Walker) I think the language needs to be tweaked, because the idea was that a department would have an elected curriculum committee or in the case of the Honors Program, a program council or advisory board appointed by the Senate.

(Mead) Suggestion: add a comma after “advisory board”. It would read “…curriculum committee, program council or advisory board, or similar body appointed by the Academic Senate”

(Armstrong) I don’t think we should have an elected curriculum committee, so remove the word “elected”

(Kanel) Line 16: Is something like Athletics, the personal training they have a separate academic unit? I am concerned that athletic training might not have tenured faculty in that particular unit. Can you look into it?

(Tsang) I am with Athletic Training Program, and we do have tenure track faculty in that major, and it is separate from the Athletics Department.

(Patton) The way this is written, it does say “should”, but it seems to imply that a college wouldn’t be an academic unit since they are housed within colleges. Is that really the intent or should colleges be included as an academic unit?

12.2 ASD 16-150 Revision to UPS 210.020 - Review of Tenured Faculty

XIII. NEW BUSINESS

13.1 ASD 16-161 Resolution for Reception of the Academic Master Plan

M/S/P (Stambough/Walker) Motion to move this item from new business to urgent business and approve the Resolution for Reception of the Academic Master Plan. Motion passed.

M/S/F (Fidalgo/Fitch) Amendment to add the wording “reception does not include necessarily imply endorsement or approval of the above document”, after the last sentence on line 15.

Back to the main motion.

13.2 ASD 16-154 Revision to UPS 100.601- Procedures for Department/Program Name Changes

XIV. ADJOURNMENT

M/S/P (Dabirian/Meyer) Meeting adjourned at 12:50 PM.