

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FULLERTON

ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES MARCH 7, 2019

ASD 19-28

PLN-130

Approved 4-11-19

11:30 АМ - 12:50 РМ

Present: Badal, Basil, Brunelle, Bruschke, Buck, Casem, Ceisel, Chandler, Childers, Dabirian, David, Fidalgo, Fitch, Garcia, Heiner, Hesgard, Holland, Jarvis, Kanel, Matz, McLain, Mead, Meyer, R. Oliver, Patton, Peissig, Powers, Preston, Rodriguez, Shahi, Shoar, Stambough, Stohs, Teckchandani, Thomas, Tiwari, Torres, Virjee, Wagner, Walker, Wood

Absent: Barros, Brown, Gradilla, P. Oliver, Perez, Valdez, Walicki, Walk

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Stohs called the meeting to order at 11:30 am.

II. URGENT BUSINESS

No Urgent Business

III. ANNOUNCEMENTS

>

- (Buck) Dr. Aitana Guia will be giving a lecturer at the Fullerton Library on Wednesday, March 20th. Her lecture will be on "The Muslim Question": The Current Struggle for Belonging in Europe and America. Next month President Virjee will be speaking at the Library.
- > (Buck) The Library's three day book sale starts on Friday.

IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

4.1 ASD 19-14 Academic Senate Minutes 2-21-19 (Draft) - forthcoming

V. CONSENT CALENDAR

M/S/P (Jarvis/Fidalgo) Motion to approve Consent Calendar. Motion passed unanimously.

- 5.1 ASD 19-15 PRBC Approval of New Programs Proposal Spring 2019
- 5.2 ASD 19-16 GE Course Proposals Spring 2019

5.3 NOMINEES TO COMMITTEES

NOMINEES TO GENERAL COMMITTEES

FACULTY PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (10 faculty – Full Professor Status)

- AS Nominees: Reyes Fidalgo (HUM); Elaine Rutkowski (HHD); Kristine Dennehy (SOC SCI);
- Jason Teven (COMM); Radha Bhattacharya (MCBE) <u>Continuing</u>: Binod Tiwari (ECS); Gulhan Bourget (NSM); Eve Himmelheber (ARTS);
 - Janice Myck-Wayne (EDUC); John Hickok (L/A/C/E)

PROFESSIONAL LEAVES COMMITTEE (10 faculty – Tenured Professor Status)

- <u>AS Nominees</u>: Timothy Green (EDUC); Rebecca Bodan (HHD); Kathleen Preston (SOC SCI); Yuna Kim (MCBE); Brady Heiner (HUM); Pending (ARTS)
- <u>Continuing:</u> Jennifer Burnaford (NSM); Carolyn Coal (COMM); Shawn Wang (ECS); Adolfo Prieto (Library)

FACULTY RESEARCH COMMITTEE (10 faculty – Tenured Professor Status)

AS Nominees: Jidong Huang (ECS); Kevin Lambert (HUM); Michael DeMars (Library);

- Philippe Zacair (SOC SCI); Cynthia Gautreau (EDUC)
- <u>Continuing:</u> Katherine Bono (HHD); Zair Ibragimov (NSM); Steven Chen (MCBE); Rebecca Sheehan (COMM); Katherine Powers (ARTS)

NOMINEES TO AD HOC COMMITTEE

ASSIGNED TIME FOR EXCEPTIONAL SERVICE COMMITTEE (9 faculty)

<u>Nominees</u>: John Haan (NSM); Kristi Kanel (HHD); Sarah Hill (HSS); Sudarshan Kurwadkar (ECS); HyeKyeung Seung (COMM); Yuna Kim (MCBE); Dave Mickey (ARTS); Joyce Gomez-Najarro (EDUC); Jonathan Cornforth (L/A/C/E)

NOMINEES TO STANDING COMMITTEES

CURRICULUM COMMITTEE (10 faculty)

Nominees: Rahul Chakraborty (COMM)

<u>Confirmed 8/30</u>: Aitana Guia (SOS SCI); Benikia Kressler (EDUC); Sandra Perez (HUM); Esther Chen (NSM); Fred Kinney (ARTS); Koren Fisher (HHD); Robert Mead (MCBE); Phoolendra Mishra (ECS); Samuel Barber (L/A/C/E)

LIBRARY COMMITTEE (10 faculty)

- <u>Nominees:</u> Joao Barros (HHD) <u>Confirmed 8/30</u>: Sinan Akciz (NSM); Mark Bilby (L/A/C/E); Frank Russell (COMM); Pratanu Ghosh (ECS); Christopher Slogar (ARTS); Maritza Lozano (EDUC); Natalie Fousekis (SOC SCI);
 - Lingxiao Li (MCBE); Jeanette Solano (HUM)

NOMINEES TO SEARCH COMMITTEE

ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT FOR STUDENT TRANSITIONS (4 faculty) Nominees: Merri Lynn Casem (NSM); Stacy Mallicoat (SOC SCI), Pending, Pending

VI. CHAIR'S REPORT - written report distributed 2-19-19.

The Chair's report was a PowerPoint presentation on General Education II, Governance and the ASCSU. Chair Stohs gave an overview as each slide was presented for the following areas:

Desired Process for any new CSU GE Program	 Concerns/Qualifications 	 University/Faculty Governance
 CSU Policies (EOs) 	 Collegial Governance in the CSU - HEERA (Higher Education Employer- Employee Relations Act) 	CSU Senates and the ASCSU
> The ASCSU	> The ASCSU GE Task Force	 Prior/New Changes in the CSU GE Program

<u>Q& A</u>:

Q: (Brunelle) That is how it is all supposed to work, what is the possibility or likelihood? Do you have any sense about that or would we just get an EO 1101.

A: (Bruschke) Given that the most recent history has been the Chancellor's office has taken parts of reports, used those to justify the Executive Orders and bypass the Academic Senate and campus senates in the process, we would do well to continually assert no action should be taken, do not take this report and start doing stuff with it until the Statewide Academic Senate has had a chance to see your final written proposal and give our input on that.

• (Stohs) And if my memory serves me correctly, at our last meeting, our President said something to the effect the Chancellor's office is not going to make that mistake again.

- (Virjee) What I said last time was I think the Chancellor's office has learned its lesson, I think those are the words I used. We have been told, absent any changes to a contract, there will not be any new Executive Orders coming this year and there won't be any new Executive Orders coming until much more consultation and discussion occurs across the system on General Education issues. What process ends up being followed in the end, whether or not the Chancellor's office will wait for a formal recommendation from the Statewide Academic Senate on GE before they begin the process of putting together potentially some changes to it, I can't forecast. I certainly believe there will be a lot of time for interaction and discussion. We have been told that by the Chancellor and by the Executive Vice Chancellor Loren Blanchard that there was going to be lots of discussion and lots of room for input, I know the presidents will insist on that. I believe Jon is absolutely right that we need to continue to insist on that as an Academic Senate. We need to continue to say that it is highly important and instructive to hear from the campuses as to what framework they believe should be put in place for GE reform and these are the principles or practices that as we begin the process of looking at GE reform we think are inviolate, that each campus has to be able to create its own unique set of General Ed requirements that are reflective of the campus and reflective of what it is the campus is seeking to do with its students as opposed to other students in the CSU.
- (Stohs) I would like to add, I had three possible options from the Chancellor's office in response to
 resolutions by the ASCSU is 1) yes we will go ahead and do it, 2) that's a good idea, but we are going to
 take what you said and put our own version on it, or 3) no. So even if and when a new GE program is set
 forth by the ASCSU, the Chancellor's office still has the prerogative of making some changes to that. I don't
 think there is anything in here or any other place that says the Chancellor's office has to do exactly what the
 ASCSU says. So if the faculty of the whole system stand together and come up with a GE program that has
 very broad and strong support and is good, rational, and all the above (it will never satisfy everybody), but if
 it's strongly supported by faculty, then whatever that program would be, would have a much stronger chance
 of being successful in the longer run.

VII. PROVOST REPORT- written report distributed 3-5-19.

2 page report

VIII. STATEWIDE ACADEMIC SENATE REPORT

No report.

IX. ASI REPORT- written report distributed 3-5-19.

2 page report

Additional report:

(Torres) ASI has officially passed a resolution to start our Strategic Plan. We plan on aligning our Strategic Plan with the University's Strategic Plan. If you want any information on that, let me know.

X. CFA REPORT - written report distributed 3-5-19.

5 page report

XI. NEW BUSINESS

11.1 ASD 19-17 Statements of Opinion

M/S/P (Fitch/Kanel) Motion to adopt ASD 19-17 Statements of Opinion. Statements of Opinion were adopted as amended.

> (Patton) Line 11: change "intersession" to "winter session". Considered friendly.

There was conversation about the Statement of Opinion question potentially about the Arboretum. The question was stated as a series of options and there was no Pro or Con statement accompanying it. There were deep concerns about the potential outcomes, especially since there was disagreement about what the answers would actually indicate. The form of the question also was not consistent with the statements of opinion normally included, so due to the complexity of the Arboretum issue, the Senate pulled the question from the Statements of Opinion.

 $M/S/{\mbox{P}}$ (Stambough/Meyer) Motion to remove question #2, then pass the document. Motion passed unanimously.

Back to main motion

11.2 ASD 19-18 Resolution for Consultation on ASCSU GE Task Force Report

M/S/P (Stambough/Walker) Motion to approve ASD 19-18 Resolution for Consultation on ASCSU GE Task Force Report. Motion passed unanimously.

> (Walker) I have several amendments that I believe are friendly:

- Line 6: to read "...substantial changes to the General Education requirements in the CSU; and". *Considered friendly.*
- Line 8: Change the wording "the Report was issued a year after..." to "the Report was issued only one year after...". *Considered friendly.*
- Line 19: Change the wording "WHEREAS the differences between the previous GE package, the changes mandated by EO1100rev, and the recommendations..." to "WHEREAS the differences among prior, current and proposed GE requirements based on the recommendations...". *Considered friendly*.
- Line 24: Insert a WHEREAS clause to read:

"WHEREAS substantial changes to the CSU General Education requirements impact the other segments of the California Higher Education community and may result in even more complicated transfer patterns (e.g. IGETC, CSU Breadth) based on the year a student entered higher education; and". *Considered friendly*.

• Line 30: Insert two **RESOLVED** clauses to read:

"**RESOLVED**: that the CSUF Academic Senate strongly urges the ASCSU to make no recommendations on the report of the ASCSU GE Task Force until campus senates and administrations have reported to the ASCSU on the impact of these changes on academic programs and student learning; and be it further. *Considered friendly.*

RESOLVED: that the CSUF Academic Senate encourage the ASCSU to consult with the Intersegmental Committee of the Academic Senates to obtain input from the other segments of California Higher Education on the impact of the recommended changes in the ASCSU GE Task Force Report or other changes to GE; and be it further". *Considered friendly*.

o (Jarvis) Add the wording "or other changes to GE" the end of the sentence. Considered friendly.

- Line 46: add "ASCCC President John Stanskas" before President Virjee. Considered friendly.
- > (Badal) Line 25: Include staff. Considered friendly.
- > (Walker) On the distribution list, it should also go to the ASI President and CSSA. *Considered friendly*.

XII. ADJOURNMENT

M/S/P (Dabirian/Meyer) Meeting adjourned at 12:50 PM.