I. CALL TO ORDER
Chair Bonney called the meeting to order at 11:30 AM.

II. URGENT BUSINESS
- There is a letter going around via email from faculty about the strike.
- Titanium went down at 5:30 pm, not 6:00 pm as announced.

III. ANNOUNCEMENTS
- (Dabirian) Change your password.
- (Guerin) No quorum at SALC.
- (Guerin) Academic Senate Statewide meets tomorrow and the plenary meets on Thursday.

IV. TIMES APPROXIMATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12:00 PM</th>
<th>Topic: Parking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presenters: VP Danny C. Kim</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VP Kim gave an update on parking on campus.
- To increase capacity, they added an additional 60 parking spaces along road in the back of the campus.
- The policy has been changed for parking in student housing. Previously, students in residence halls were able to get parking permits and park there all day. They would park their cars on campus all week and drive home on the weekends. Now, during peak hours, students are not allowed to park in Lot E. Lot E is reserved for commuter students. Students can bring their cars back on to campus in the evenings after 6:00 pm. That policy change has freed up 690 parking spaces.
- Valet parking has been expanded to Lots A&G.
- The number of available parking spaces in Lots A&G has been added to the monument signs and the iFullerton parking app.
- During peak periods there is no parking at the core of the campus. Parking fills up by 10:00 am. In Lots A&G, with the assisted parking, there are 2,104 parking spaces available. The walk from Lot A to College Park is approximately 15 minutes.

Questions/Suggestions:
Q: What about shuttle service?
A: It’s too expensive, and you would have to have demand. We talked to the students about pedicabs as a fundraiser; that is still on the table.

Q: Is there a way to give discounted fees to those who would be willing to park in parking Lot A?
A: We do not have a tiered parking system; it is something we could look into it.

Q: Would it be possible to have the release of carpool parking places to general parking occur at 9:45 am instead of 10:00 am? It would allow faculty to get to their 10:00 am class on time.
A: We can do that.

Q: Can we have a special parking for EV cars to make it easier for them to find a park once their car has been charged?
Q: Can you create a map highlighting faculty spaces and email to faculty or add to monument signs?
A: There is no room to add to the monument signs; we can add a map to the iFullerton app.

Q: What about some type of reward systems in the form of gift cards?
A: If you take the train, metro, bus or bike, there is a reward system, but not for those who bring their car.

Q: Special parking permits?
A: The intent of the special parking permit is for the department to assign it to whoever needs it. The intent is not to use it as their parking space; they park in a normal parking space. If they have to go off campus, then when they return, the space will be available for them. We have sent a letter to all recipients to remind them the special parking spaces is not their personal parking space.

Q: Is it possible to call them something else? When we have a guest come to campus and we have them go to the kiosk for a ticket, they think the special permit parking spaces are where they are to park. Then we have to fight the ticket.

- If you restripe the motorcycle lot in the Nutwood structure, you can free up some parking spaces.
- If you open up the ability for motorcycles to park where cars don’t fit, you can free up some parking.
- Incentives for motorcycle riders.

There is a parking committee and they can make recommendations on some of these ideas.

V. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
5.1. EC Minutes (Draft) 2-9-16 M/S/P (Meyers/Stohs) minutes were passed unanimously.
5.2. EC Minutes (Draft) 2-16-16 M/S/P (Meyers/Stohs) minutes were passed unanimously.
5.3. EC Minutes (Draft) 2-23-16 M/S/P (Meyers/Stohs) minutes were passed unanimously.

VI. CHAIR’S REPORT (Bonney)
- Chair Bonney sent an email to Sandra Perez-Linggi, Bob Mead and Peter Nwosu regarding the Honors Board and the lack of a UPS and has requested an update. As you know I have received an email from the director and that they have arranged to evaluate the temporary faculty although I remain concerned about evaluations without approved bylaws. I am also unclear about the relationship between the Board’s bylaws and the Honors Board committee and the UPS for the Honors Board.
- Chair Bonney sent an email to PRBC regarding funding for CSUF to get more clarity on the impact of issues outside the Orange Book, and Erica Bowers will discuss with Danny Kim.
- Commencement is being re-evaluated because the cost - $1.2M - exceeds the amount collected in student fees - $950K. The proposal we were given last week would reduce the number of ceremonies over the two days from 28 to 16. Smaller ceremonies would be consolidated and events would be limited to those venues that incur the lowest expenses - the intramural field, Titan Stadium which would be reoriented with seats on both sides, Titan Gymnasium and the ECS Lawn. The plan is for four ceremonies at 9:30 following the big ceremony and four more in the afternoon starting at 2:00. There will be no tents. The budget did not disaggregate the cost of the big ceremony from the total use of the Intramural Field which is where MCBE has its ceremony. The scale of the venue requires the Jumbotron. Proposals to eliminate the big ceremony have been rejected on the grounds that this is a meaningful event. No effort has been made to consider securing underwriting for this event with ads in the commencement bulletin. The proposal (to me at least) seems to be particularly ill-advised given our demographics, and I am inclined to write a letter to the President on that score.
- The FDC Director presently reports to the Deputy Provost although the UPS 102.001 provides that the director reports to the AVPAP. I recommend bringing our policy in line with our practice and then making a subsequent change that provides that the Director of the Honors Program also reports to the Deputy Provost. The same should apply to the Director of Freshman Programs for which we perhaps should prepare a UPS? The latter two curricular units are being supervised by a unit which is essentially a compliance unit and should not be engaged in the curriculum business. I will be talking to the Provost about this prior to our meeting. [It subsequently emerged that Bob Mead had shared an out-of-date version of the UPS. FDC Director reports to Deputy Provost.]
- Chair Bonney will be sending the instructional materials document with changes from Amir along with UPS 210.007 and UPS 210.500 back to FAC to resolve the issues.

VII. PROVOST REPORT
There was a discussion about the rubrics used to evaluate sabbaticals.
VIII. STAFF REPORT (Benjamin Report)
Today is Mara’s Birthday.

IX. COMMITTEE LIAISON REPORTS
9.1. Campus Facilities & Beautification Committee [Stohs] F, 2-26-16, 11:00 AM – 12:00 PM, MH-141
- Continued discussion on biking, skateboarding etc. on campus. Some additional signage has been added at crucial places on campus; seems to have had some positive effect, i.e., fewer skateboards and hoverboards. There will be a new version (Directive 16 amended) prohibiting hoverboards on campus.
- Landscaping update: $1.4 M has been spent ($4M of campus; $1M from MWD) on new landscaping. There is an ad hoc committee planning the continued updates to landscaping – faculty especially from Biology have been involved.
- Social space for faculty and staff. Academic Senate informal coffee or wine activity for faculty. Members of the committee may begin some informal activities (time/place) to begin providing for some way to re–start discussion about a physical location/room etc. to encourage the campus to have a location for faculty/staff social activities. Other CSU’s do have these places (buildings), but it would need to be funded by the campus. Perhaps the Senate should revisit this with the annual survey. It was noted that there is a line or two currently in the AMP about the need for a social space.
- Parking issues: Concerns on the floor of the last two Senate meetings were raised about the lack of faculty (and/or student) parking at “peak” hours (i.e., after 10 a.m.). Joe Ferrer provided a brief guide to parking financing and usage over the past several years.

9.2. General Education Committee [Casem] F, 2-26-16, 2:00 PM – 4:00 PM, MH-141
- Alison Wrynn presented a report on the recent AACU GE meeting (New Orleans).
- Chair Osborne-Thompson reported on the GE Writing Requirement workshop sponsored by the FDC.
- The committee discussed the status of the GE assessment plan. Concerns were expressed regarding challenges of coordinating assessment across multiple sections/instructors for a given course and adding to the workload of faculty, many of whom are part-time. Faculty will be given freedom to select which assignments will be used for the assessment of a foundational course concept. An indirect assessment of students will be made using a microsurvey administered through the student portal.
- All Math courses under consideration were approved for recertification for GE in area B.1. Chem and Phys are in the process of updated materials in order to allow the committee to evaluate their applications. The committee will evaluate recertification for courses in Areas D.1 and D.2 beginning March 11. Certification of courses applying for consideration as GE will begin at the March 11 meeting as well.
- The committee would like to pursue the idea of developing an 8yr rotation of GE course recertification in order to more efficiently deal with the large number of courses in some categories (eg Area Z with over 160 courses). Kevin Wortman (ECS) was elected as vice chair for the committee.

X. NEW BUSINESS
10.1 General Committees for AY 2016/17

Faculty Personnel Committee:
Robert Gass (COMM); Reyes Fidalgo (HUM); Jill Rosenbaum (SOC SCI)

Professional Leaves Committee:
Mira Farka (MCBE); Loretta Donovan (EDUC); Jidong Huang (ECS); Pending (HUM)

Faculty Research Committee:
HyeKyeung Seung (COMM); Peter de Lijser (NSM); Pending (HHD); Pending (ARTS); Pending (MCBE)

ITEMS BELOW WILL BE MOVED TO NEXT AGENDA 3-8-16.

10.2 Discussion on Statement of Opinions
10.3 Discussion: committee to respond to AB 798 RFP
10.4 Discussion: counting courses toward Major and GE
1. Memo from Mark Fischer
10.5 Revision to UPS 411.100 Curriculum Guidelines and Procedures Courses [Source: UCC]
   1. Email from Mark Fischer

10.6 Revision to UPS 100.700 [Source: AEEC]

10.7 Revision to UPS 300.031 [Source: ASC]

10.8 Revision to UPS 410.103 [Source: UCC]

10.9 Title IX & Syllabi

10.10 Follow-up on Retreat. New UPS on Core Competencies?

10.11 Review of Chairs
   1. Bakersfield
   2. Channel Islands
   3. East Bay
   4. San Bernardino
   5. San Jose

10.12 Revised ECS Exemption Resolution – Jon Bruschke

XI. ADJOURNMENT
Meeting adjourned at 12:55 PM.