STATEMENTS OF OPINION

I. Past efforts to establish a faculty/staff dining facility on campus have met with mixed results; in short, there has not been enough patronage to warrant continued operation. Have times changed? This question seeks to address that issue.

PRO: The benefits of a faculty/staff club-dining facility are increased opportunities for congenial interaction, a place to meet old friends and make new acquaintances, and a place to take off-campus guests and job candidates. Those who support this effort should be willing to patronize the facility frequently as well as provide it financial support in addition to the cost of the food.

CON: Since many faculty are just beginning their careers at CSUF, they may not have the funds to support a “faculty/staff” club. A meeting place would be nice if there weren’t competing demands for time and money. A “no” vote on this issue expresses the belief that faculty and staff on this campus will not support a facility either by using it or by paying even modest dues to support it.

1. Would you be willing to pay modest dues to support it—$10/month?
   - Yes: 217 (46%)
   - No: 191 (41%)
   - No Opinion: 63 (13%)

2. How often, on the average week, would you be willing to eat there (providing meals were modestly priced)?
   - Weekly: 238 (51%)
   - Monthly: 109 (23%)
   - Not at all: 75 (16%)
   - No Opinion: 43 (9%)

II. As our institution increasingly looks beyond the state budget to support its activities, the role of University Advancement becomes more central. University Advancement raises funds through donations and by sponsoring such events as “Front and Center” and “Vision and Visionaries.” This question attempts to uncover the electorate’s opinion and perception of the University Advancement Division.

PRO: A positive response to the items indicates that University Advancement is a successful operation that enhances the University’s image in the community and successfully raises funds for the University.

CON: A negative response indicates a perception that the priorities of University Advancement are not congruent with the responders’ priorities for the University and that this Division does not enhance the University’s image nor does it raise funds that justify its existence.
1. **Has your department/program been actively involved with University Advancement in fund-raising?**

   - Yes: 163 (37%)
   - No: 135 (30%)
   - No Opinion: 147 (33%)

2. **If “yes,” how satisfied are you with the results of fund-raising?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very satisfied: our goals were all or mostly met.</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately satisfied: some progress was made, but goals not met</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately dissatisfied: few funds have been raised.</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very dissatisfied: none of our goals were met.</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **If “no,” why has your department/program not utilized University Advancement?**

4. **Your overall assessment of University Advancement?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly positive</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly negative</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Opinion</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. **The Senate has recently decided to conduct elections by electronic means. The purpose of this item is to get some idea of how the electorate feels about this decision.**

   **PRO:** The reasons to support this action is that it is cheaper, faster (especially for the elections committee), more accurate and easier (for most voters).

   **CON:** The reasons to oppose this action is that some feel there are security concerns, that it is uncertain whether or not it will increase turnout, that some faculty are not comfortable with computers and that one does not get to go through the ritual of going to a voting place.

1. **In all future elections and referenda conducted by the Senate, voting shall be by electronic means only.**

   - Strongly Agree: 268 (58%)
   - Strongly Disagree: 7 (2%)
   - Agree: 140 (30%)
   - No Opinion: 20 (4%)
   - Disagree: 29 (6%)